

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,770	12/29/2003	Peter Anderson	47079-00055USC2 8218	
30223 7	590 07/15/2005		EXAMINER	
	GILCHRIST, P.C.		JONES, S	COTT E
225 WEST WA SUITE 2600	ASHINGTON		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60606			3713	
	•			

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action					
Before	the	Filing	of an	Appeal	Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/748,770	ANDERSON ET AL	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Scott E. Jones	3713	

Defens the Filling of an Annual Drief					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Scott E. Jones	3713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 14 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp. 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or		
following time periods:	the first actual a				
 a)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)) and the apprepriate exte	ancion foo have		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months pearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date		
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.		
AMENDMENTS					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 			74.		
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after of	entry is below or attac	cnea.		
11. $igtii$ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:		
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)			
13. Other:	(5/55/55 of 1 10-1449/1 aper	148	_		
		Scott E. Jones	1		
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3713			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner asserts Helm's teaching of a player selectable elements is equivalent to the player selectable elements of the instant invention. In the instant invention, a player selects any one of the characters shown in Figure 14 to reveal a character in the alphabet, which in turn is associated with one or more of the scrambled words shown in Figure 15. The player selection in the instant invention is a "random selection" because the outcome of the selection is not revealed until after a player has made the selection. In Helm, the player selectable elements are selected by a player selecting the "skill stop" buttons 26, 28, and 30 on the gaming machine to stop the reels as shown in Figure 1 to select the letters/numbers to be associated with a bingo card. Like the instant invention, Helm's player "skill stop" selection element is also considered a random selection and therefore performs the same function as the player selectable elements in the instant invention. For at least this reason, the examiner asserts the claim language has been interpreted properly and maintains the previous rejection.